

# A Study on the Factors That Causes Manufacturing **Defects In Composite Materials Using Combined Disjoint Block Fuzzy Cognitive Maps**

Mohan Subbaraya\*

\*Department of Mechanical Engineering, SITAR, Bengaluru, India

Submitted: 01-05-2021	Revised: 09-05-2021	Accepted: 10-05-2021

ABSTRACT: The manufacturing of Composite materials is done by various number of techniques. These techniques combine the fiber and resin into a well-compiled product. The manufacturing technique which has been selected depends upon the size and quality or the composite required. During the manufacturing processes, defects can be introduced into the material. In this research paper we will find the most important defect that occurs during the manufacturing process of the composite materials using Combined Disjoint Block Fuzzy Cognitive Maps (CDBFCMs). Combined Disjoint Block Fuzzy Cognitive Map is an efficient method which analyses the data by directed graphs and connection matrices.

Keywords:: Fuzzy Cognitive Maps(FCMs), Combined Disjoint Block Fuzzy Cognitive Maps (CDBFCMs), Composite Materials, Manufacturing defects, Porosity, Directed Graphs.

## I. INTRODUCTION

A Mathematical Model called Fuzzy Cognitive Maps was introduced by Lofti.A.Zadeh in 1965. Fuzzy Cognitive Maps are fuzzy structures that strongly resemble neural networks, and it is a powerful and far reaching consequences as a mathematical tool for modeling complex systems. Fuzzy cognitive maps (FCMs) are more applicable when the data in the first place is an unsupervised one (Vijayaraghavan, 2015). The FCMs work on the opinion of experts. FCMs model the world classes and causal relations between classes.FCMs are fuzzy signed directed graphs with feedback (Kumaresan & Vijayaraghavan, 2015). The directed edge eijfrom causal concept C<sub>i</sub> to concept C<sub>i</sub> measures how much Ci causes C<sub>i</sub>. The time varying concept function C<sub>i</sub>(t) measures the nonnegative occurrence of some fuzzy event, perhaps the strength of political sentiment, historical trend. The edges eii take values in the fuzzy causal interval [-1, 1].  $e_{ij} = 0$  indicates no causality,  $e_{ij} > 0$ indicates causal increase, C<sub>i</sub> increases as C<sub>i</sub>

increases (or Cidecreases as Cidecreases). eii< 0 indicates causal decrease or negative causality. Ci decreases as C<sub>i</sub> increases (or C<sub>i</sub> increases as C<sub>i</sub> decreases) simple FCMs have edges values in  $\{-1,$ 0, 1.

Let  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$  be the nodes of an FCM. A =  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  where  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . A is called the instantaneous state vector and it denotes the on-off position of the node at an instant and

 $a_i = 0$  if  $a_i$  is off

 $a_i = 1$  if  $a_i$  is on for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Suppose  $A = (a_1, ..., a_n)$  is a vector which is passed into a dynamical system E. Then AE = (a'1, ... ,a'n) after thresholding and updating the vector suppose we get  $(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  we denote that by  $(a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_n) \rightarrow (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ . Thus the symbol ' $\rightarrow$ ' means the resultant vector has been thresholded and updated. Let C1, C2, ..., Cn be n distinct attributes of a problem n very large and a non- prime. If we divide n into k equal classes i.e., k/n and if n/k=t which are disjoint and if we find the directed graph of each of three classes of attributes with t attributes each then their corresponding connection matrices are formed and these connection matrices are joined as blocks to form a n x n matrix. The n x n connection matrix forms the combined disjoint block FCM of equal classes If the classes are not divided to have equal attributes but if they are disjoint classes we have n x n connection matrix called the combined disjoint block FCM of unequal classes / size. Here we approach the problem through defects using Combined Disjoint Block Fuzzy Cognitive Maps (CDBFCMs) that are basically matrices which predict the feelings of all the attributes under certain conditions. Before we proceed to apply Combined Disjoint Block Fuzzy Cognitive Maps (CDBFCMs) to this problem, we define a set of 5 defects given by experts. We work with analyzing them using directed graph and its connection matrices.



#### Methodology with Result and Discussion

- Let us take the manufacturing defects as nodes C1: Porosity
- C2:Bonding defects.
- C3 :Delaminations.
- C4 :Fiber defects.
- C5 : Foreign bodies.

We take three experts opinion on the three disjoint classes so that each class has three attributes. Let

the disjoint classes be classes be E1, E2, E3 be divided by the following E1= { C1, C3, C4 } E2= { C2, C3, C5 } and E3 = { C1, C2, C4 } The directed graph given by the first expert C1, C3 , C4 which forms the class B1



The related connection given by first expert opinion on C2 , C3 , C5 which forms the class B1

C3 C4 C1 C2 C5  $C_1$  $\mathbf{0}$ 0 1 0  $\begin{array}{c|cccccc} C_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ C_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$  $C_5$ 0 0 0 0 0 E1 =

The directed graph given by the expert C2, C5, C3 which forms the class B2.





E2

The related connection given by second expert opinion on C2, C4, C3 which forms the class B2. C1 C2 C3 C4 C5

$C_1$	(0)	0	0	0	0)
$C_2$	0	0	0	0	0
$C_3$	0	1	0	0	0
$C_4$	0	0	0	0	0
$_{_{=}}C_{5}$	0	1	0	0	0)

The directed graph given by the third expert C1 , C4 , C2 which forms the class B3  $\,$ 



The related connection given by third expert opinion on C1 , C4 , C5 which forms the class B3. C1 C2 C3 C4 C5

$C_1$	(0)	0	0	0	$0^{\prime}$
$C_{2}$	0	0	0	0	0
$C_3$	0	0	0	0	0
$C_4$	1	0	0	0	0
$_{\rm E3} = C_5$	$\left(1\right)$	0	0	0	0,

Combined Disjoint Block Fuzzy Cognitive Map From Figure 1,2 & 3, we get





The combined FCM adjacency matrix is C1 C2 C3 C4 C5

$C_{2}$	C7 (					
	$C_1$	(0)	0	1	0	0)
	$C_2$	0	0	0	0	0
	$C_3$	1	1	0	1	0
	$C_4$	1	0	0	0	0
E =	$C_5$	1	1	0	0	0)

Now using the matrix A of the combined overlap block FCM, we determine the hidden pattern. Suppose the concept C1 is in the ON state and all other nodes are in the OFF state. Let the initial input vector be  $X = (1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0)$ , where porosity is taken as the ON state and all other nodes are in the OFF state.

The effect of X on the dynamical system E is given by:

 $XE = (\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ ) \rightarrow (\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ ) = X_1$ 

 $X_1 E = (1 1 1 1 1 0) \rightarrow (1 1 1 1 1 0) = X_2$ 

 $X_2E = (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0) \rightarrow (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0) = X_3 = X_2.$ 

X2 is the hidden pattern, which is the fixed pattern.

### **II. CONCLUSION**

From our study we conclude that while analyzing FCM, when the concept C1, "Porosity", is in the on state, the other concepts C2, C3, C4, are also in the on state. Therefore we conclude that the most important manufacturing defect that is likely to occur in practice is "porosity"—the presence of voids.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Adams R. D. and Cawley P. (1988). A review of defect types and non-destructive testing techniques for composites and bonded joints. NDT International 21, 208– 222.
- [2] Kosco,B."Fuzzy Cognitive Maps" International journal of man-machine studies, January, (1986), 62-75.
- [3] VasanthaKandasamy W.B. and Victor Devadoss A., "Some New Fuzzy Techniques", Jourof inst. of Math. & Comp. Sci.(Math.ser.), Vol. 17, No.2, (2004).157-160.
- [4] VasanthaKandasamy, W.B., and M. Ram Kishore. Symptom-Disease Model in Children using FCM, Ultra Sci.,11(1999)318-324.

- [5] W.B. VasanthaKandasamy,V. Indira, Applications of Fuzzy Cognitive Maps to determine the Maximum Utility of Route, Journal of Fuzzy Maths. publ. by the International Fuzzy Mathematical Institute, 8,2000, pp.65-67.
- [6] G.J. Klir,B.Yuan, Fuzzy sets and Fuzzy logic, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1995.
- [7] N.Vijayaraghavan, B.Kumaresan, A study on significance of globalization of higher education in India using fuzzy cognitive maps,Global journal of pure and applies mathematics, Volume 11,Number 5 (2015), pp. 2993-2999
- [8] B.Kumaresan ,N.Vijayaraghavan , A study on the sectors that increase the percentage of carbon dioxide which causes global warming using fuzzy cognitive maps, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Volume 10, issue 5, pp.11581– 11586, 2015